



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,310	11/28/2005	Karin Hamsen	10191/3707	9585

26646 7590 02/27/2007
KENYON & KENYON LLP
ONE BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10004

EXAMINER

GOODWIN, DAVID J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2818

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/527,310	Applicant(s) HAMSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner David Goodwin	Art Unit 2818	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/9/05</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 8 through 14 in the reply filed on 1/3/07 is acknowledged.
2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 8, 11, 12, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le (US 6,160,309) in view of Terasaki (US 2002/0011661).
5. Regarding claim 8.
6. Le teaches a diode structure. Said structure comprises a diode (210). A press fit base (202) including an axially extending mounting region to mount a semiconductor chip (210). A head wire (204) provided with a head (205) configured to be affixed to the semiconductor chip. A stabilization arrangement, which includes at least a sleeve and an encapsulating material (206) filling cavities. Wherein the head wire together with the

Art Unit: 2818

sleeve and the press fit base (202) forms a housing the cavities of which being filled with encapsulating material (206).

7. Le does not teach a stepped head.

8. Terasaki teaches press fit base having a head wire that includes a step (6c).

9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a step in the head wire in order increase the stability of the connection and to prevent fall of insulating member.

10. Regarding claim 11.

11. Le teaches that the encapsulant (206) comprises epoxy (column 6 lines 5-15).

12. Regarding claim 12.

13. Le teaches that only the head of the head wire which is inside the housing is surrounded by encapsulating material (fig 2).

14. Regarding claim 13.

15. Le teaches that only the head of the head wire includes at least two regions having different diameters (fig 2).

16. Regarding claim 14.

17. Le teaches that only the head of the head wire is cone shaped (fig 2).

18. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le (US 6,160,309) in view of Terasaki (US 2002/0011661) as applied to claim 8 and further in view of Khandros (US 6,274,823).

19. Regarding claim 9.

20. Le in view of Terasaki teaches elements of the claimed invention above.

Art Unit: 2818

21. Le further teaches that the head wire is composed of copper (column 3 lines 15-25).

22. Le in view of Terasaki does not teach the lead wire is coated with nickel phosphorous alloy.

23. Khandros teaches coating a copper lead wire with nickel phosphorous alloy (column 6 line 60-column 7 line 5).

24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill inn the art to coat the copper wire with nickel phosphorous alloy in order to increase the yield strength and resiliency of the wire.

25. Regarding claim 10.

26. Le in view of Terasaki teaches elements of the claimed invention above.

27. Le further teaches that the head wire is composed of copper (column 3 lines 15-25).

28. Le in view of Terasaki does not teach the lead wire is coated with nickel phosphorous alloy.

29. Khandros teaches coating a copper lead wire with nickel phosphorous alloy (column 6 line 60-column 7 line 5).

30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill inn the art to coat the copper wire with nickel phosphorous alloy in order to increase the yield strength and resiliency of the wire.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Goodwin whose telephone number is (571)272-8451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am through 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571)272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DJG

Andy Hingoh
Andy Hingoh
Primary Examiner

